Case Example 11:

Problem, Code, and Data Complexity

Complexity is one of the most subtle and subjective factors in all of software estimating. SRM uses three different forms of complexity:

- Problem complexity
- Code complexity
- Data complexity

See the detailed document below for examples of inputs. The reason that complexity is subjective is that the same set of problems is not equally complex to all people. For example an expert in cyber-security might regard building a new firewall application as a low complexity problem. But to a novice in cyber-security the same firewall would be very high in complexity because they have no prior experience. Thus complexity is close to being a reciprocal of experience levels.

Experts tend to evaluate problem and data complexity as being lower than novices. Of course code complexity has exact quantification. The widely used "cyclomatic complexity" metric, calculates code complexity based on graph theory and a control flow graph of the modules in an application. Cyclomatic complexity uses the formula of "graph edges minus nodes plus 2." Code that has no branches has a cyclomatic complexity value of 1. As branches increase, cyclomatic complexity also increases. Modules with cyclomatic complexity scores above 10 tend to be buggy and difficult to test. However for problem complexity (the algorithms and research needed) and for data complexity (files and data relationships) only subjective metrics exist.

It is best to experiment with the SRM complexity settings by running SRM against completed projects where complexity is understood and team members are available to explain complexity values.

Example 11: How Software Risk Master (SRM) Evaluates Software Complexity

Java Language for all 3 Cases Iterative development for all 3 cases High, Average, and Low Complexity Levels \$7,500 per month for all 3 Cases Problem complexity = difficulty of algorithms and logic Code complexity = difficulty due to branches and poor comments (cyclomatic complexity) Data complexity = number and interactions among files and data sets Complexity varies with team and personal experience levels A project can be very complex to novices; very simple to experts High complexity increases functon points; degrades quality; lowers productivity 2017 is the 30th anniversary of IFPUG function point metrics

	High	Average	Low	
	Complexity	Complexity	Complexity	Complexity scores = 1 (minimum) to 11 (maximum)
Problem complexity	9	6	3	Logical difficulty of application
Code Complexity	9	6	3	Cyclomatic complexity of code
Data complexity	9	6	3	Number of files, data elements, relationships
Function Points	1,120	1,000	880	High complexity increases function points
Language level	5.50	6.00	6.50	
LOC per FP	58.18	53.33	49.23	High complexity increases LOC per function point
Logical code lines	58,182	53,333	49,231	High complexity increases code size
Project Risks				
Cancellation	24.37%	13.20%	9.85%	
Negative ROI	30.87%	16.74%	12.48%	
Cost overrun	26.81%	14.79%	10.84%	

Sc	hedule slip	32.50%	17.95%	13.14%	
Un	nhappy customers	19.50%	11.44%	7.88%	
Lit	tigation	10.72%	5.82%	4.33%	
Те	echnical debt/high	27.40%	14.88%	11.08%	
	OQ				
Cy	yber attacks	16.70%	9.07%	6.75%	
Fi	nancial Risk	35.97%	19.53%	14.54%	
Hi	gh waranty repairs	25.26%	13.72%	10.21%	
Po	oor maintainability	18.84%	10.23%	7.62%	
RI	ISK AVERAGE	24.45%	13.40%	9.88%	High complexity increases all risks
Τα	otal Defects in	6,600	3,000	2,100	High complexity raises defect potentials
Ar	pplication				
Pre	e-Test Defect Removal %	42.00%	70.00%	84.00%	High complexity lowers defect removal efficiency
Def	fects Removed	2,772	2,100	1,764	
Def	fects Remaining	3,828	900	336	
Tes	st Defect Removal %	66.00%	82.00%	93.00%	
Def	fects Removed	2,526	738	312	
Def	fects Remaining	1,302	162	24	
Bac	d fix injection %	11.00%	7.00%	4.00%	High complexity raises bad-fix injection rates
Bac rep	d fixes (new bugs in pairs)	143	11	1	
Def rep	fects detected but not paired				
pric	or to delivery to customers	433	35	2	High complexity increases unrepaired defects

Cumulative Defect Removal %	80.28%	94.60%	98.88%	All projects should top 96% defect removal efficiency (DRE)
				DRE developed by IBM circa 1973
Total Defects Removed	5,298	2,838	2,076	
Total Defects Delivered	1,445	173	24	High complexity increases delivered defects
High-Severity Defects Delivered	260	24	3	High complexity raises defect severity levels
Security Flaws Delivered	35	3	0	High complexity raises security flaws delivered with software
Average monthly cost	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	
OVERALL PROJECT				
Development Schedule (months)	16.98	13.80	12.45	High complexity stretches out schedules
Staff (technical + management)	10	7	6	High complexity increases staffing
Development Effort (staff months)	170	99	75	High complexity increases effort months
Development Costs	\$1,273,683	\$739,492	\$560,032	High complexity increases costs
DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITES				
Requirements Effort (staff months)	16.00	8.00	7.00	
Design effort (staff months)	22.00	15.00	10.00	
Coding effort (staff months)	45.00	24.00	20.00	
Testing effort (staff months)	50.00	28.00	23.00	

	Documentation effort (staff month)	15.00	6.00	6.00	
	Management effort (staff months)	22.00	9.00	9.00	
	TOTAL EFFORT (Staff months)	170.00	90.00	75.00	
	Function points per month	5.88	11.11	13.33	
	Work hours per FP	22.44	11.88	9.90	
	LOC per month	342.25	592.59	656.41	
	Total Cost of Development	\$1,275,000	\$675,000	\$562,500	
	Total Cost of Maintenance	\$1,650,000	\$625,000	\$110,000	High complexity raises maintenance costs
	Total Cost of	\$575,000	\$300,000	\$200,000	High complexity raises enhancement costs
	TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP (TCO)	<u>\$3,500,000</u>	<u>\$1,600,000</u>	<u>\$872,500</u>	High complexity raises TCO
	TCO per Function Point	\$3,500.00	\$1,600.00	\$872.50	High complexity raises TCO \$ per function point
	TCO per K Lines of Code	\$60.16	\$30.00	\$17.72	High complexity raises TCO \$ per KLOC
-			END OF EXAMPLE		